

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 639103CIP GOELET

03/23/94 08/216,538

1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW

JEFFREY I. AUERBACH

WASHINGTON DC 20004

HOWREY & SIMON

18M2/0317

EXAMINER

SISSON, B

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1807

DATE MAILED:

03/17/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s)

08/216,538

Goelet et al.

Examiñer

Group Art Unit Bradley L. Sisson

1807



a) 💢 expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on 21 Feb 1997 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X The proposed amendment(s):
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
will not be entered because:
Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The proposed amendment, at page 3, line 9, recites a trademark (TWEEN 20) that is neither in capital letter nor accompanied with the requisite generic terminology. See below.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
□ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conditio for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record.
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conditio for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of
 separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record.</u> The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection.
 separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
 separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None
 separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None
 Separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims rejected: 30-46
 Separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conditio for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised because in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 30-46 The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
 Separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument has not been advanced as to how the skilled artisan would extrapolatre the equine showing to that of human polymorphisms; an issue developed under 112, 1st par. 103 rejection maintained for reasons of record. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised be the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

BRADLEY L. SISSON PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1807